▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへぐ

## Number Theory

Everything else

Misha Lavrov

ARML Practice 10/06/2013

## Solving integer equations using divisors

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

# Solving integer equations using divisors

PUMaC, 2009. How many positive integer pairs (a, b) satisfy  $a^2 + b^2 = ab(a + b)$ ?

Let p be a prime. Let p<sup>x</sup> be the highest power of p dividing a, and p<sup>y</sup> be the highest power of p dividing b.

# Solving integer equations using divisors

- Let p be a prime. Let p<sup>x</sup> be the highest power of p dividing a, and p<sup>y</sup> be the highest power of p dividing b.
- Suppose x < y. Then p<sup>2x</sup> is the highest power dividing a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>x+y</sup> is the highest power dividing ab, and p<sup>x</sup> is the highest power dividing a + b.

## Solving integer equations using divisors

- Let p be a prime. Let p<sup>x</sup> be the highest power of p dividing a, and p<sup>y</sup> be the highest power of p dividing b.
- Suppose x < y. Then p<sup>2x</sup> is the highest power dividing a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>x+y</sup> is the highest power dividing ab, and p<sup>x</sup> is the highest power dividing a + b.
- So p<sup>2x</sup> = p<sup>2x+y</sup>, which means y = 0. But x < y, so this is impossible. So we can't have x < y; we can't have x > y for the same reason, so x = y.

(日本本語を本書を本書を、「四本」

# Solving integer equations using divisors

- Let p be a prime. Let p<sup>x</sup> be the highest power of p dividing a, and p<sup>y</sup> be the highest power of p dividing b.
- Suppose x < y. Then p<sup>2x</sup> is the highest power dividing a<sup>2</sup> + b<sup>2</sup>, p<sup>x+y</sup> is the highest power dividing ab, and p<sup>x</sup> is the highest power dividing a + b.
- So p<sup>2x</sup> = p<sup>2x+y</sup>, which means y = 0. But x < y, so this is impossible. So we can't have x < y; we can't have x > y for the same reason, so x = y.
- This is true for all p, so a = b. Then  $2a^2 = a^2(a + a) = 2a^3$ , so a = b = 1.

# Competition-level problems

AIME, 1991. How many fractions  $\frac{a}{b}$  are there, for which ab = 20! (when written in simplest terms)? How many of these satisfy  $0 < \frac{a}{b} < 1$ ?

Ukrainian MO, 2002. Solve

$$n^{2002} = m(m+n)(m+2n)\cdots(m+2001n)$$

for integers *m*, *n*.

British MO, 2002. Find all solutions in positive integers a, b, c to the equation  $a! \cdot b! = a! + b! + c!$ .

Putnam, 2000. Prove that the expression  $\frac{\gcd(n,k)}{n}\binom{n}{k}$  is an integer for all pairs of integers  $n \le k \le 1$ .

#### Competition-level problems Solutions

AIME, 1991. We can factor

 $20! = 2^{18} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19.$ 

(What's important here is that there are 8 primes that appear in the factorization of 20!, which are the 8 primes  $\leq$  20.)

If ab = 20! and  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in simplest terms (that is, gcd(a, b) = 1) then each prime number must go entirely in *a* or entirely in *b*. There are 2 possibilities for each prime, and eight primes, so that's  $2^8 = 256$  choices.

How many are between 0 and 1?

AIME, 1991. We can factor

 $20! = 2^{18} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19.$ 

(What's important here is that there are 8 primes that appear in the factorization of 20!, which are the 8 primes  $\leq$  20.)

If ab = 20! and  $\frac{a}{b}$  is in simplest terms (that is, gcd(a, b) = 1) then each prime number must go entirely in *a* or entirely in *b*. There are 2 possibilities for each prime, and eight primes, so that's  $2^8 = 256$  choices.

How many are between 0 and 1?

We always have  $\frac{a}{b} > 0$ , and either  $\frac{a}{b} < 1$  or  $\frac{b}{a} < 1$ . Therefore the answer is 128: half of the total number of fractions.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト ヨー ろくで

#### Competition-level problems Solutions

Ukrainian MO, 2002. Let p be a prime. Then:

- If *p* divides *m*, then *p* divides the RHS, so *p* divides the LHS, which is  $n^{2002}$ . Therefore *p* divides *n*.
- If p divides n, then p divides the LHS, so p divides the RHS, which means p divides m + kn for some k. Since p also divides kn, p must divide m.

Ukrainian MO, 2002. Let p be a prime. Then:

- If p divides m, then p divides the RHS, so p divides the LHS, which is  $n^{2002}$ . Therefore p divides n.
- If p divides n, then p divides the LHS, so p divides the RHS, which means p divides m + kn for some k. Since p also divides kn, p must divide m.

Normally, we'd refine this approach to show that the same power of p divides m and n. Here, there is a shortcut: If m and n are solutions, so are  $\frac{m}{p}$  and  $\frac{n}{p}$ . Unless m = n = 0, we can keep dividing by p until one is no longer divisible by p; but then the other can't be divisible by p either.

In any case, we prove m = n; but the only solution of this kind is m = n = 0.

British MO, 2002. Ruling out  $0 \le a \le 2$  and  $0 \le b \le 2$ ,  $a! \cdot b!$  is much larger than a! or b!, so c is the largest of the three integers.

Next, we show that a! = b!. Suppose a! < b!: then b! is divisible by (a + 1)!, and if we write

$$a! \cdot b! - b! - c! = a!$$

then everything on the left is divisible by (a + 1)!, while a! is not. This is impossible.

Now we have  $a!^2 = a! + a! + c!$ , or a!(a! - 2) = c!. Since a! - 2 is not divisible by 3, a! and c! must have the same number of factors of 3, so c = a + 1 or c = a + 2. Checking both, we get a single solution:

$$3! \cdot 3! = 3! + 3! + 4!$$

Putnam, 2000. Our goal is to show that  $gcd(n,k)\binom{n}{k}$  is divisible by n.

For all primes p, suppose  $p^x$  divides n and  $p^y$  divides k. If  $x \le y$  then all is good, because at  $gcd(n,k)\binom{n}{k}$  is divisible by  $p^x$ .

If x > y, we can use the following trick:  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , and so we can rewrite

$$\frac{\gcd(n,k)}{n}\binom{n}{k} = \frac{\gcd(n,k)}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}.$$

Now we have only a power  $p^{y}$  in the denominator, and at least  $p^{y}$  in the numerator, so no power of p is left in the denominator, and we are done.

・ロト ・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ クタマ

# The totient function

The "totient", or Euler's  $\phi$ , is defined to be:

 $\phi(n) =$  The number of k,  $1 \le k \le n$ , so that gcd(n, k) = 1.

Exercise. Find  $\phi(10000)$ .

PUMaC, 2010. Find the largest positive integer *n* such that  $n\phi(n)$  is a perfect square.

# The totient function

The "totient", or Euler's  $\phi$ , is defined to be:

 $\phi(n) =$  The number of k,  $1 \le k \le n$ , so that gcd(n, k) = 1.

#### Exercise. Find $\phi(10000)$ .

- Easy answer: gcd(10000, k) = 1 if k ends in 1, 3, 7, or 9.
  There are 4000 such numbers between 1 and 10000.
- General answer: Out of 10000 integers,  $\frac{1}{2}$  are divisible by 2, and  $\frac{1}{5}$  are divisible by 5, so there are 10000  $\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{5}\right)$  = 4000 left.

PUMaC, 2010. Find the largest positive integer *n* such that  $n\phi(n)$  is a perfect square.

Using the "general answer" above, it's easy to see  $n\phi(n)$  can't be a perfect square for n > 1.

## Rule for raising something to a power mod m

#### Theorem (Euler's theorem)

For all positive integers a, n with gcd(a, n) = 1,

 $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ 

and therefore

 $a^m \equiv a^{m \bmod \phi(n)} \pmod{n}.$ 

Intuition: If gcd(a, 10) = 1, then there are  $\phi(10) = 4$  digits *a* can end in: 1, 3, 7, and 9. The powers of *a* will cycle through these digits: for example, when a = 3, we have

$$3^0 = 1, \quad 3^1 = 3, \quad 3^2 = 9, \quad 3^3 = 27 \equiv 7, \quad 3^4 = 81 \equiv 1, \dots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

## Rule for raising something to a power mod m

#### Theorem (Euler's theorem)

For all positive integers a, n with gcd(a, n) = 1,

 $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ 

and therefore

 $a^m \equiv a^{m \bmod \phi(n)} \pmod{n}.$ 

Intuition: If gcd(a, 10) = 1, then there are  $\phi(10) = 4$  digits *a* can end in: 1, 3, 7, and 9. The powers of *a* will cycle through these digits: for example, when a = 3, we have

$$3^0 = 1, \quad 3^1 = 3, \quad 3^2 = 9, \quad 3^3 = 27 \equiv 7, \quad 3^4 = 81 \equiv 1, \dots$$

If  $gcd(a, n) \neq 1$ , then powers of a eventually repeat every  $\phi(n)$  steps, but this is trickier to use.

# Competition problems

(Note: this theorem is also useful for small things, like knowing that  $1^4 \equiv 2^4 \equiv 3^4 \equiv 4^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  last week. These are problems where Euler's theorem is the main focus.)

Exercise. Compute 100<sup>100</sup> mod 13.

Texas A&M, 2008. Find the last three digits of 2007<sup>2008</sup>.

VTRMC, 2012. Find the last two digits of  $\underbrace{3^{3}}_{2012}^{3}$ .

HMMT, 2011. Determine the remainder when

$$2^{\frac{1\cdot 2}{2}} + 2^{\frac{2\cdot 3}{2}} + \dots + 2^{\frac{2011\cdot 2012}{2}}$$

is divided by 7.

### Competition problems Solutions

Exercise. 
$$100^{100} \equiv (-4)^{100} \equiv (-4)^4 \equiv 9 \pmod{13}$$
.

Texas A&M, 2008.  $2007^{2008} \equiv 7^{2008} \equiv 7^8 \pmod{1000}$ . A shortcut for this:  $7^2 = 49 = 50 - 1$ , so

$$7^8 = (50-1)^4 = 50^4 - 4 \cdot 50^3 + 6 \cdot 50^2 - 4 \cdot 50 + 1.$$

But here, the first three terms are all divisible by 1000, so all we need to worry about is  $-4 \cdot 50 + 1 \equiv 801 \pmod{1000}$ .

### Competition problems Solutions

VTRMC, 2012. Write  $3 \uparrow\uparrow n$  for  $3^3$  with *n* 3's. We use Euler's theorem recursively: for 100 we need  $\phi(100) = 40$ , for which we need  $\phi(40) = 16$ , for which we need  $\phi(16) = 8$ , for which we need  $\phi(8) = 4$ , for which we need  $\phi(4) = 2$ .

Since 3 is odd,  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2007 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

So  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2008 \equiv 3^1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

So  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2009 \equiv 3^3 \equiv 27 \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ .

So  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2010 \equiv 3^3 \equiv 27 \equiv 11 \pmod{16}$ .

So  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2011 \equiv 3^{11} \equiv 27 \pmod{40}$ .

So  $3 \uparrow\uparrow 2012 \equiv 3^{27} \equiv 87 \pmod{100}$ .

(日本本語を本書を本書を、「四本」

#### Competition problems Solutions

HMMT, 2011. We know  $2^n \mod 7$  is determined by  $n \mod 6$ . But actually, more is true:  $2^3 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , so  $n \mod 3$  is enough.

When looking at  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \mod 3$ , we know either n-1, n, or n+1 is divisible by 3. Unless it's the first,  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  is also divisible by 3, in which case  $2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ . However, when n-1 is divisible by 3,  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and  $2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$ .

Therefore  $2^{\frac{1\cdot 2}{2}} + 2^{\frac{2\cdot 3}{2}} + \dots + 2^{\frac{2011\cdot 2012}{2}} \mod 7$  simplifies to

$$\underbrace{2 + 1 + 1 + 2 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 2}_{2011} \operatorname{mod} 7$$

which is  $\frac{2010}{3}(2+1+1)+2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ .